# Discussion of "Productivity and Business Dynamics through the lens of COVID-19" by Chiara Criscuolo ECB SINTRA

September 28th 2021

John Van Reenen (LSE and MIT)





# **Overall**

- Comprehensive overview of what we know about impact of COVID on business landscape, focusing on Euro-Area countries
  - Recommended reading!

# **Overall**

- Comprehensive overview of what we know about impact of COVID on business landscape, focusing on Euro-Area countries
  - Recommended reading!
- Lots of fascinating nuggets around 2020-21 productivity changes
  - Hours fell faster than Output, so productivity up!
  - Between Sector reallocation: relative movement of hours towards more productive industries (e.g. retail to manufacturing)
  - Within Sector reallocation? Slowed, but still positive
  - Entry has been high in Pandemic relative to Global Financial Crisis
  - Faster Diffusion of (i) Digital tech; (ii) Telework/WFH
    - But more in existing adopters, so firm inequality up
- Pre-crisis trends in market power: "Increasing Differences" (Van Reenen, Jackson Hole, 2018)

### **OUTLINE OF TALK**

#### **The Challenge**

Analytical Framework

Measurement

Reallocation

Adoption

Politics of a Growth Plan

# The Pandemic's Big Hit on European growth



**Source:** Eurostat, July 30<sup>th</sup> 2021 <u>https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/documents/2995521/11563211/2-30072021-BP-EN.pdf/0567c280-b56c-2734-2a4b-e4af85a55bf5?t=1627630313030</u>

#### Slowing Productivity growth preceded COVID crisis (TFP 1950-2019)

Panel B: Euro zone



**Source:** TFP growth based on Bergeaud, Cette, and Lecat (2016). **Note:** "Euro Zone" is Germany, France, Italy, Spain, Netherlands, and Finland.

#### Slowing Productivity growth preceded COVID crisis (TFP 1950-2019)

Panel A: US

Panel B: Euro zone



**Source:** TFP growth based on updated data from Bergeaud, Cette, and Lecat (2016). **Note:** "Euro Zone" is Germany, France, Italy, Spain, Netherlands, and Finland.

### **OUTLINE OF TALK**

The Challenge

**Analytical Framework** 

Measurement

Reallocation

Adoption

Politics of a Growth Plan

# **Issues with the Analytical Framework (Figure 1)**



# **Issues with the Analytical Framework (Figure 1)**



- Misses out any impact on frontier innovation
  - Likely leads to firms cutting-back R&D via worse incentives (lower demand, higher uncertainty) and lower ability (credit shock & managerial time diversion)
  - Some survey evidence on this (but possibly offsetting effects)
- Nothing on direction of technical change: this is probably the main effect

#### **OUTLINE OF TALK**

The Challenge

**Analytical Framework** 

Measurement

Reallocation

Adoption

Politics of a Growth Plan

# How do we know what is happening to productivity right now?

- Data always problematic in real time, especially in crisis & particularly with COVID, as many changes might be very temporary
- Numerator of labour productivity (GDP)
  - Real Output affected by lags, revisions, inventories, price measurement
- **Denominator** of labour productivity (worker-hours)
  - Reported #workers and #hours heavily impacted by subsidies & home-working

# How do we know what is happening to productivity right now?

- Data always problematic in real time, especially in crisis & particularly with COVID, as many changes might be very temporary
- Numerator of labour productivity
  - Real Output affected by lags, revisions, inventories, price measurement
- **Denominator** of labour productivity
  - Reported #workers and #hours heavily impacted by subsidies & home-working
- And <u>really</u> hopeless for TFP (need also capital inputs)
- Likely that we are hugely mismeasuring

# How do we know what is happening to productivity right now?

- Data always problematic in real time, especially in crisis & particularly with COVID, as many changes might be very temporary
- Numerator of labour productivity
  - Real Output affected by lags, revisions, inventories, price measurement
- Denominator of labour productivity
  - Reported #workers and #hours heavily impacted by subsidies & home-working
- And <u>really</u> hopeless for TFP (need also capital inputs)
- Likely that we are hugely mismeasuring
- But even if we knew precisely what happened to productivity 2020-21, how much would it matter?
  - Key issue is what will happen to fundamental productivity when things start to return to "normal"?

#### **OUTLINE OF TALK**

The Challenge

Analytical Framework

Measurement

Reallocation

Adoption

Politics of a Growth Plan

# **Problems with emphasis on Reallocation**

- COVID accelerates and changes pressure for reallocation in many dimensions
  - Offline to online
  - Digital platforms to enable WFH and serving clients at a distance
  - Decline of offline retail, aviation, etc.

# **Problems with emphasis on "Reallocation"**

- COVID accelerates and changes pressure for reallocation in many dimensions
  - Offline to online
  - Digital platforms to enable WFH and serving clients at a distance
  - Decline of offline retail, aviation, etc.
- But "Reallocation" is loaded term
  - Relative decline of activity in "low productivity" units looks good
  - But if high productivity units do not expand sufficiently to absorb there is waste: unemployment, underemployment, stranded assets, etc.
  - This is "bad productivity growth": welfare down even though measured productivity up (referred to elliptically on p.3 as "batting average" effect)

# **Problems with emphasis on "Reallocation"**

- COVID accelerates and changes pressure for reallocation in many dimensions
  - Offline to online
  - Digital platforms to enable WFH and serving clients at a distance
  - Decline of offline retail, aviation, etc.
- But "Reallocation" is loaded term
  - Relative decline of activity in "low productivity" units looks good
  - But if high productivity units do not expand sufficiently to absorb there is waste: unemployment, underemployment, stranded assets, etc.
  - This is "bad productivity growth": welfare down even though measured productivity up (referred to elliptically on p.3 as "batting average" effect)
- In short-run policy must balance protection against reallocation. A major lesson from Global Financial Crisis was that we moved to austerity too quickly

### **OUTLINE OF TALK**

The Challenge

Analytical Framework

Measurement

Reallocation

Adoption

Politics of a Growth Plan

- Evidence that there is greater adoption of digital technologies and teleworking (e.g. Riom & Valero, 2021)
  - I believe these, but small bespoke studies that may not be representative (Eurostat publishing later this year)

- Evidence that there is greater adoption of digital technologies and teleworking (e.g. Riom & Valero, 2021)
  - I believe these, but small bespoke studies that may not be representative (Eurostat publishing later this year)
- But even if true that adoption has increased, is it efficient?
  - Were companies making mistakes by sub-optimally investing prior to COVID?
  - Maybe: forced experimentation; spillovers; co-ordination
  - But might not be the case: COVID has just added to costs

- Evidence that there is greater adoption of digital technologies and teleworking (e.g. Riom & Valero, 2021)
  - I believe these, but small bespoke studies that may not be representative (Eurostat publishing later this year)
- But even if true that adoption has increased, is it efficient?
  - Were companies making mistakes by sub-optimally investing prior to COVID?
  - Maybe: forced experimentation; spillovers; co-ordination
  - But might not be the case: COVID has just added to costs
- What about adoption more generally? COVID might change direction of technical change without speeding it up, making productivity effects ambiguous

- Evidence that there is greater adoption of digital technologies and teleworking (e.g. Riom & Valero, 2021)
  - I believe these, but small bespoke studies that may not be representative (Eurostat publishing later this year)
- But even if true that adoption has increased, is it efficient?
  - Were companies making mistakes by sub-optimally investing prior to COVID?
  - Maybe: forced experimentation; spillovers; co-ordination
  - But might not be the case: COVID has just added to costs
- What about adoption more generally? COVID might change direction of technical change without speeding it up, making productivity effects ambiguous
- I did not see any analysis on <u>firm level productivity</u> change
  - In aggregate terms, this dominates between firm effects
  - Data are available: adoption studies also have productivity (e.g. BoE DMP suggests fall in productivity within firms & this dominates)

### **OUTLINE OF TALK**

The Challenge

Analytical Framework

Measurement

Reallocation

Adoption

**Politics of a Growth Plan** 

# **Post-Pandemic Policy Making**

- My view is that ultimately, the effect of Pandemic on productivity is whether it will change policy making
- Challenges we face in OECD are tremendous: Pandemic recovery, slow pre-crisis TFP growth and need for net zero climate transition
- This requires ambitious thinking: a new Marshall Plan based around innovation & diffusion of best practice
- Needs to based on rigorous evidence







# Policy toolkits: "Lightbulb" Table for Innovation and "Spanner" Table for Management

#### **Toolkit for Innovation Policies**

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Policy	Quality of	Conclusivenes	Benefit - Cost	Time frame:	Effect on
	evidence	s of evidence			inequality
Direct R&D	Medium	Medium	ୁର୍ଭ୍ୟୁ : ଭୂମ୍ବର୍ତ୍ତୁ :	Medium-Run	↑
Grants					I
R&D tax	High	High	ୢୖଡ଼୕ୖଡ଼ୖୄ୕ୄ୰ୖୄଡ଼	Short-Run	1
credits					•
Patent Box	Medium	Medium	Negative	n/a	↑
Skilled	High	High	- <del>Son Son Son</del>	Short to	1
Immigration			0 0 0	Medium-Run	$\checkmark$
Universities:	Medium	Low	- <b>X</b>	Medium-Run	↑
incentives			-		I
Universities:	Medium	Medium	୍ୱାତ୍ତ୍ୱିଙ୍କୁ	Long-Run	1
STEM Supply					$\mathbf{+}$
Exposure	Medium	Low	- Ó CÓC	Long-run	1
Policies			0 0		$\checkmark$
Trade and	High	Medium		Medium-Run	↑
competition			0 0		I
Grand	Low	Low	ୁର୍ଭ ଅନ୍ତିର	Medium-Run	1
Innovation			2 2		*
Challenge					

#### **Toolkit for Management Policies**

Policy type	Strength of evidence	Policy Net benefit (out of 5)	Difficulty of implementation	Time frame
Structural				
Competition	Н	\$\$\$\$\$	М	medium
Trade and FDI	Н	$\mathbf{G}$	L	medium
Education	М	\$\$	М	long
Labour Deregulation	М	\$\$\$	L	medium
Governance	М	\$\$\$\$	M/L	long
Direct				
Training - consulting	Н	\$\$\$	н	short
Training - formal classroom	М	<b>\$</b>	н	medium
Information/benchmarking	L/M	\$\$\$	Н	medium

Source: Scur, Sadun, Van Reenen, Bloom and Lemos (2021)

**Source:** Bloom, Van Reenen and Williams (2019)

# **Successful Innovation Policies**

- R&D tax credits
- Direct government grants
- Human capital supply
  - Expanding STEM workforce
  - Universities
  - Immigration
  - "Lost Einsteins & Marie Curies": under-representation of women, minorities and kids from low income families in inventor pool represents a major loss of talent
    - Bell et al (2019a,b)





# Growth Plan (e.g. EU Rescue & Resiliency Facility)

- Exact policy Mix will depend on a country's conditions
- Key Requirements:
  - Short-run balance between protection & reallocation
  - Institutional reform to combat policy ADD (e.g. infrastructure)
  - Bundle policies around the climate mission Reforms over tax; industrial strategy; competition; corp governance; human capital (e.g. Lost Einsteins)
- Optimistic? post-WWII recovery galvanized by negative shock (like COVID)









## **Further reading**

- "The World Management Survey at 18" (Bloom, Lemos, Sadun, Scur & Van Reenen, 2021), Oxford Review of Economic Policy <u>https://poid.lse.ac.uk/textonly/publications/downloads/poidwp002.pdf</u>
- World Management Survey <a href="http://worldmanagementsurvey.org/">http://worldmanagementsurvey.org/</a>
- LSE Growth Commission Final Report
   <u>http://www.lse.ac.uk/researchAndExpertise/units/growthCommission/documents/pdf/GCReportSummary.p
   df</u>
- "Management as a Technology" (Bloom, Sadun and Van Reenen, 2020): <u>http://mitsloan.mit.edu/shared/ods/documents/?DocumentID=2685</u>

# Some Further Reading (and viewing)

https://poid.lse.ac.uk/

"Innovation Policies to Boost Productivity" (2020) Hamilton Policy Proposal 2020-13 <u>https://www.hamiltonproject.org/assets/files/JVR\_PP\_LO\_6.15\_FINAL.pdf webinar</u>

"A Toolkit of Policies to promote Innovation" (Nick Bloom, Heidi Williams and John Van Reenen), <u>Journal of</u> <u>Economic Perspectives</u> (2019) 33(3) 163–184 <u>http://cep.lse.ac.uk/pubs/download/dp1634.pdf</u>

"Why Do We Undervalue Competent Management" (Raffaella Sadun, Nick Bloom and John Van Reenen) <u>Harvard Business Review</u> (2017), September-October

"Measuring and Explaining Management practices across firms and nations" (Nick Bloom and John Van Reenen) <u>Quarterly Journal of Economics</u> (2007) 122(4), 1351–1408.

"Who Becomes an Inventor in America? The Importance of Exposure to Innovation" (Alex Bell, Raj Chetty, Xavier Jaravel, Neviana Petkova and John Van Reenen), <u>http://cep.lse.ac.uk/pubs/download/dp1519.pdf</u> <u>Data</u> <u>Quarterly Journal of Economics</u> (2019)134(2) 647–713, <u>New York Times</u> <u>Vox Atlantic Fortune</u> <u>Conversation</u> <u>VoxUS</u> <u>Economist</u> <u>VC</u> <u>Centrepiece</u> <u>INET</u>

# Some other points

- The entry/exit is useful, but not very compelling in my view
  - Vast majority of new entrants firms have low/no jobs (need intensive margin)
  - Acemoglu et al 2018 basically quantitative theory. Empirical contribution of entry/exit in data is 2<sup>nd</sup> or 3<sup>rd</sup> order.
- Do we really believe cross-industry reallocation to high VA per hour sectors unmitigated good in terms of welfare?
  - E.g. Fig 4 says Finance 50% higher than manufacturing, but the UK model of moving workers into the City did not end well.
- Tension in paper between explaining what the official numbers say (e.g. labor productivity rose in 2020 because reported hours fell faster than reported turnover) and whether this is really meaningful/useful (not really)
- Inequality: Tech adoption, etc. is stronger in larger, more productive, already high tech firms. So this will increase between firm dispersion
  - Interesting, but unclear whether it is good or bad for welfare. Maybe low tech firms exit & high prod firms grow, raising overall productivity?

# **Minor points**

- P.2 I think "uncertainty" (2<sup>nd</sup> moment) is less important than first moment of certainly lower demand in a crisis depressing investment, etc.
- In terms of complementarity of technology with good management (p.7) the key reference is (of course) Bloom, Sadun and Van Reenen (2012, AER)
- P.18 2<sup>nd</sup> para is where things can get misleading on reallocation. Just shutting down low
  productivity firms isn't sufficient if they are not moved to high productivity firms
- At various places, sounds like you're saying so long as any reallocation in crisis it is good. But that
  is too low a bar. Question is whether it is greater than in normal times.
- It was unclear to me if Fig 9 is net of bankruptcies (as table notes suggest) or just entry (the latter would be more natural as Fig 10 has bankruptcies).
- P.35 The lower opportunity cost in a crisis is the main mechanism detailed in Bloom et al (2021) "Trapped Factor" <u>Economic Journal</u> 131(633) 156–191 with evidence from Bloom, Draco & Van Reenen (2016, ReStud)
- P.35 The Gibbons & Roberts book reference is wrong. You are thinking of the Schmitt (1997 ReStud) paper or Hart (1983, I think).

# **Minor points**

- P.42 How on earth do we know that the "optimal" level of working from home is 1-2 days a week? These numbers are more or less plucked from the air in these papers!
- P.57. The main Autor et al paper is the QJE one "The Fall of the Labor Share and the Rise of Superstar Firms" (with David Autor, David Dorn, Larry Katz and Christina Patterson), <u>Quarterly</u> <u>Journal of Economics</u> 135(2) 645-709
- P.58 is it so obvious the growth in CONC is less in the EU than US? Your previous work suggested it was actually pretty similar in % growth terms over a comparable period. It's hard to make quantitative comparisons.
- My 2018 paper is published as "Increasing Difference Between Firms: Market Power and the Macro Economy" Changing Market Structures and Implications for Monetary Policy, Kansas City Federal Reserve: Jackson Hole Symposium (2018) 19-65
- Anna and I surveyed the work on COVID and tech adoption here <u>https://www.economicsobservatory.com/how-covid-19-affecting-firms-adoption-new-technologies</u>